Princes Risborough Key Decision Report

Mill Street/St Dunstans Responses

Online Survey Responses and Comments

Reducing the already limited parking in the immediate vicinity Danger to the local school children and all pedestrians Additional parking problems for church goers The proposed double yellow lines on Mill Lane will lead to higher average speeds

2/24/2019 8:09 PMAdd tags -View respondent's answers

This will raise the following problems and issues Burton Lane is a narrow historic lane with no passing places, a sharp right-angle turn halfway along and pedestrian pavement on one short section only The width of the layby changes and, for most of it, it is only possible to park on one side without restricting access. We regularly have problems with cars parked badly restricting access not only for residents but also for the rubbish collection and for emergency vehicles The Highways Authority are aware of this as there are restrictions on the size of vehicles permitted and previous planning applications by residents have been refused where there has been both access and increase in vehicular movement At least 10 properties in the layby and Burton Lane DO NOT have offstreet parking - this is a conservation area with cottages dating back to the 16th-18th centuries when parking was not required Of those properties, many have multi-generational residents so there may be a need for more than one car Many are older residents who need parking near to their own house or need access for emergency vehicles Pressure on this little conservation area comes from;- St Dunstan's Church which holds Sunday services, weddings, funerals, memorial services, special events, choir abd bell-ringin, monthly and community events such as toddler groups and film nights. The pressure on parking is already very high when the church is in use The Church Hall also has regular secular activities such as Sewing and Yoga clubs. Monks Risborough Primary School has no school parking for parents and the majority of parents who drive park on Mill Lane which is the nearest place to park to safely walk to the main school gate. Some parents already park in the layby which can cause problems for residents as the parents are often late or in a rush and sometimes do not park considerately (double-parked or just badly parked) Peters Lane is a steep lane where local residents already discourage school parking and where the school recommend a 'drop and go' approach to minimise problems. Therefore the pressure on the layby and Burton Lane will increase dramatically not just from the actual parked cars but also the number of cars that will drive around seeking a place. This will also be dangerous to pedestrians who are walking to school. The dental surgery in the layby has private parking but via a small low arch so most customers park in the layby. Whiteleaf Hall at one end of the layby also holds weekly community events, often for older residents who prefer to drive and therefore park in the layby as there are only a couple of spaces at the Hall for parking Football - Risborough Rangers Junior Football Club uses the field on the other side of the road for matches on Sundays NEW USE - over the last two years, we have noted a dramatic increase in parking in the layby by old people who then get on the bus to either Aylesbury or High Wycombe. They are not prepared to drive all the way and as the bus stops are conveniently located by the layby, we have 2-4 cars a day doing thi The layby also has people who park briefly to make phone calls, hike or ride cycles in the CHilterns, eat lunch, drop off or collect secondary school children arriving off the bus and also lorries and vans who pull in This means that parking for residents is already severly restricted. The likelihood therefore will be that, for a large part of the day and evening, parking in the layby and Burton Lane will be taken up by visiting vehicles. This will have an adverse impact for residents who do have garages and off-road parking as both lanes are so narrow that badly parked cars will restrict their access. This already happens It will have a massive impact for residents who DO NOT have off-road parking or garages as we will have NOWHERE ELSE to park. It is completely unreasonable to expect us to park in Princes Risborough and walk 1-1.5 miles to our own homes because we cannot park outside our homes due to this intensified pressure. It will also deprive residents in this conservation area of their right to quiet enjoyment of their properties.

2/24/2019 7:49 PMAdd tags -View respondent's answers

I cannot see the value of double yellow lines along the entire length of Mill Lane - No waiting/parking at any time. The major issue at the Aylesbury Road end of Mill Lane is school drop off & pick up times. Cars are currently parked on the existing double yellow lines as drivers don't want to walk any more than they need to. I have never seen a policeman or traffic warden checking the parking infringements as drivers continually flaunt the law. The double yellow lines would also be detrimental for churchgoers at St Dunstans - many of whom are elderly and unable to walk longer distances - and also would not benefit anyone wishing to use St Dunstans Park, especially its excellent playground. Perhaps you should consider double yellow lines on one side of Mill Lane only. What is the rationale about double yellow lines along both sides of the entire length of Mill Lane? Or perhaps making the No waiting/parking restrictions applicable Monday- Friday only. The proposal would also put extra pressure on Burton Lane, where I live. The narrow roadway - much without a pavement - would not be conducive for the easy access of emergency vehicles and would add to the potential risks to the safety of pedestrians walking down it.

2/24/2019 5:14 PMAdd tags –View respondent's answers

I have lived in Burton Lane for over 25 years and the quiet safe lane used daily for school children and others going to the bus stop in the lay-by, elderly people and parents with pushchairs and children walking to the park and church has always been a pleasure to see.... There is only a sloping pavement on one side(it would be even safer with none) and the majority of pedestrians walk in the road. Over the past few years sadly this road has been used as a cut through and we have wondered how we can stop or slow thus traffic down. The parking has become very difficult as most of the residents do not have off road parking, and the ones that do, often are unable to park or get out of their driveways due to extremely inconsiderate parking by non residents, particularly at times of weddings, church services etc. I have even had notes on my own car parked for a short time at an unusual place as I've been unable to offload shopping into my house, due to several non residents cars parked outside my house, for long periods of time. I cannot see any advantage to double yellow lines on both sides of the road on Mill Lane/St.Dunstans Close. Yellow lines on one side (preferably opposite the park) would make sense. It is perfectly wide enough for cars to get past and where are the public meant to park to take their children to school at the top of the road and go to the church if there is no parking? There is nowhere else but Burton Lane and the lay-by, which both already have extremely difficult parking for the residents living there. I believe that double yellow lines would prove to actually be a very dangerous move, particularly for schoolchildren, and so many locals. Burton Lane would become even more congested than it already is (though at times that would actually be impossible) and more dangerous to all the locals who walk on it daily to the the shop, schools and park.

2/24/2019 7:53 AMAdd tags -View respondent's answers

Parking arrangements in Mill Lane are appropriate as they stand. By far a more attractive proposition would be to apply a 20MPH speed restriction to replace the current 30MPH.

2/18/2019 2:14 PMAdd tags -View respondent's answers

The parking is required for people visiting school or church events these are valuable community facilities that should not be given more pressure. They need help and support from people who attend events and therefore need access to parking Mill lane should not become a rat run to avoid the Aylesbury road that will no longer cope with volumes effort should go to addressing that not tinkering with things that aren't broken

2/12/2019 8:53 PMAdd tags -View respondent's answers

in essence we agree with the extending of the yellow lines on the junction of Mill Lane and St Dunstans Close as the current double yellow lines are not long enough on Mill Lane and parking still obstructs visability. However the proposals go too far and do not premit any cars to park on Mill Lane. This will end up the visitors to either the church or the playground parking on St Dunstans Close, which we cannot have as the roads are narrow and parking restricted.

I refer to the proposal for Mill Lane and St Dunstans Close. Although I do not object in principle to the proposal, you have not taken into account Courtmoor Close. I am the Group Scout Leader of Whiteleaf whose Headquarters is located at the end of the Close. Recent painting of double yellow line at St Dunstan's Close and Courtmoor Close are not in accordance with the Road Traffic Act. Current allowed parking on Mill Lane makes the task of leaving both Closes difficult due to obscuration of the road by parked cars. No doubt the decision made for the double yellow lines was computer generated but does not take into the amount of traffic moving in and out of the Closes. In addition, at least a further 40-50 daily movements should have been added to the calculation from Courtmoor Close. In addition, Monks Risborough park is very popular and is extensively used by the local population. It is a busy road with a minority exceeding the 30 mph speed limit. Your proposed parking does not take into account a crossing point into the park. This would be well received.

1/31/2019 4:36 PMAdd tags -View respondent's answers

There is a clear need for parking capacity in the effected area for local residents, park users, church goers etc. Who would be forced into side roads where the new proposals bought into effect. We do not feel the existing arrangements create safety issues nor have we observed any in our time as residents.

1/30/2019 10:35 PMAdd tags -View respondent's answers

Where do you propose parents are going to park safely when collecting their children from Monks Risborough school? Totally object

1/29/2019 2:35 PMAdd tags –View respondent's answers

There is no need to put double yellow lines on Mill Lane as the only time people park there is on a Sunday to go to church. The rest of the week it is empty so I would prefer my council tax being spent on something that is worth while.

1/28/2019 3:00 PMAdd tags - View respondent's answers

Where will parents park for school pick up and drop off. Peters Lane is always busy and very difficult to park as it narrows and Lorries get stuck. It also sends the traffics through whiteleaf Mill lane is the only safe place to park which allows traffic to flow up and down the road with passing places

1/27/2019 9:02 AMAdd tags -View respondent's answer

Mill Lane/St Dunstans – Emailed and Written Responses

Dear Sir/Madam,

Amendments to current parking arrangements in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough, Buckinghamshire

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough, I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and opposite St Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St Dunstan's Close was a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St Dunstan's Close.

The newly proposed no waiting restrictions, however, amount to a distance of 10 - 12 metres either side of the junction with St Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout the day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

Yours sincerely,

Xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

Dear Sir/Madam,

Amendments to current parking arrangements in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough, Buckinghamshire

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough, I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and opposite St Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St Dunstan's Close was a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions, however, amount to a distance of 10 - 12 metres either side of the junction with St Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout the day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

Yours sincerely,

Dear Sir/Madam,

Amendments to current parking arrangements in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough, Buckinghamshire

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough, I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and opposite St Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St Dunstan's Close was a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions, however, amount to a distance of 10 - 12 metres either side of the junction with St Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout the day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

Yours sincerely,

XXXXXXXXXX

xxxxxxx Eskdale Avenue, Chesham, Bucks

7th March 2019

Dear Sir/Madam,

Amendments to current parking arrangements in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough, Buckinghamshire

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough, I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and opposite St Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St Dunstan's Close was a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions, however, amount to a distance of 10 - 12 metres either side of the junction with St Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout the day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

Yours sincerely,

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

xxxxxxxxxx, Cheddington, BUCKS

Dear Sirs,

We live at Maytrees, Aylesbury Road, Monks Risborough. It is an 18th century house with no off-street parking or garage and no options to create either.

We write to object to the proposed introduction of parking restrictions along Mill Lane and in the Monks Risborough area for the reasons stated below.

We wrote to you to object to these proposals in 2015.

We are particularly disappointed that this proposal has not only been resurrected but made even worse by the proposal of introducing a total restriction on parking. We objected to this in 2015 and do so again here.

Parking in this area is worse than it was in 2015 and, for you to consider introducing this level of restriction, will result in intolerable pressure on those limited lanes (not even roads) where parking is needed.

The consultation proposes the intoduction of double yellow lines along the length of Mill Lane.

In other words, no parking at any time.

This will raise the following problems and issues

- Burton Lane is a narrow historic lane with no passing places, a sharp right-angle turn halfway along and pedestrian pavement on one short section only
- The width of the layby changes and, for most of it, it is only possible to park on one side without restricting access. We regularly have problems with cars parked badly restricting access not only for residents but also for the rubbish collection and for emergency vehicles
- The Highways Authority are aware of this as there are restrictions on the size of vehicles permitted and
 previous planning applications by residents have been refused where there has been both access and
 increase in vehicular movement
- At least 10 properties in the layby and Burton Lane DO NOT have off-street parking this is a conservation area with cottages dating back to the 16th-18th centuries when parking was not required
- Of those properties, many have multi-generational residents so there may be a need for more than one car
- Many are older residents who need parking near to their own house or need access for emergency vehicles

Pressure on this little conservation area comes from;-

- St Dunstan's Church which holds Sunday services, weddings, funerals, memorial services, special events, choir abd bell-ringin, monthly and community events such as toddler groups and film nights. The pressure on parking is already very high when the church is in use
- The Church Hall also has regular secular activities such as Sewing and Yoga clubs.
- Monks Risborough Primary School has no school parking for parents and the majority of parents who drive park on Mill Lane which is the nearest place to park to safely walk to the main school gate.
- Some parents already park in the layby which can cause problems for residents as the parents are often late or in a rush and sometimes do not park considerately (double-parked or just badly parked)
- Peters Lane is a steep lane where local residents already discourage school parking and where the school recommend a 'drop and go' approach to minimise problems.
- Therefore the pressure on the layby and Burton Lane will increase dramatically not just from the actual parked cars but also the number of cars that will drive around seeking a place.
- This will also be dangerous to pedestrians who are walking to school.

- The dental surgery in the layby has private parking but via a small low arch so most customers park in the layby.
- Whiteleaf Hall at one end of the layby also holds weekly community events, often for older residents
 who prefer to drive and therefore park in the layby as there are only a couple of spaces at the Hall for
 parking
- Football Risborough Rangers Junior Football Club uses the field on the other side of the road for matches on Sundays
- NEW USE over the last two years, we have noted a dramatic increase in parking in the layby by old people who then get on the bus to either Aylesbury or High Wycombe. They are not prepared to drive all the way and as the bus stops are conveniently located by the layby, we have 2-4 cars a day doing thi
- The layby also has people who park briefly to make phone calls, hike or ride cycles in the CHilterns, eat lunch, drop off or collect secondary school children arriving off the bus and also lorries and vans who pull in.

This means that parking for residents is already severly restricted.

The likelihood therefore will be that, for a large part of the day and evening, parking in the layby and Burton Lane will be taken up by visiting vehicles.

This will have an adverse impact for residents who do have garages and off-road parking as both lanes are so narrow that badly parked cars will restrict their access. This already happens

It will have a massive impact for residents who DO NOT have off-road parking or garages as we will have NOWHERE ELSE to park. It is completely unreasonable to expect us to park in Princes Risborough and walk 1-1.5 miles to our own homes because we cannot park outside our homes due to this intensified pressure.

It will also deprive residents in this conservation area of their right to quiet enjoyment of their properties.

We are, quite frankly shocked that this proposal has not only been resurrected but made even worse by proposing a total restriction on parking.

We are concerned that, even if you understand our concerns, you may attempt to mitigate this by introducing residents only parking which will not only be restrictive in itself but will result in the introduction of street furniture such as parking signs and lines on the lane which will severly detract from the conservation area.

We are disappointed that Wycombe District Council appears to have disregarded the valid arguments put forward by residents in 2015.

We are shocked that the Council has not carried out a sufficiently rigorous investigation of the implications of these proposals insofar as they affect Monks Risborough, the local facilities and the local residents.

We believe that these proposals are to enable Mill Lane to become an access route as part of the proposals for substantial housing at the other end of Mill Lane where it meets the A4009 despite all reports previously suggesting this would not happen.

We are also concerned that all traffic, including trade and delivery vehicles, being unimpeded by parked vehicles on either side of Mill Lane and perceiving a clear through route in both directions, will present a significantly greater risk to children along Mill Lane - in particular entering or leaving St Dunstan Park and the play area and to pedestrians along those sections of Mill Lane where there is no pavement.

Yours sincerely

xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

I am hereby attaching my email dated 2015 which objected to the proposals back then which had LESS OF AN IMPACT than the proposals you have now.

Dear Sirs.

I write now to partially amend my previous comments.

As I now have full access to the full documentation, the plans show the restrictions concern a much smaller area than your letters imply.

Whilst we would still have concerns about the impact of restricting access in this area, the proposals clearly would not have the widespread impact that I discussed below.

Therefore, can we take this opportunity to retract the comments below and I will submit amended comments in time.

I thank you in advance for this and apologise for any confusion which arose from my having limited access to see the documents prior to reading the letter and feeling under pressure to send in comments.

Yours sincerely

Hi Mr xxxxxxxx,thanks for your mail I can confirm that mail arrived okay however with the previous attempts was undelivered notification by BCC mail,however no matter my observations have been received.with regards to additional comments I'm not sure if I explained properly my major concerns with regards to five or six cars parking on the curve between Molins entrance and St Dunstance close creating a serious blind spot on that bend these cars are parked here for the duration of the working week,should that problem be removed unless restrictions are created below right down Mill Lane these cars will just move down creating more problems. Thanks again regards xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx.

Dear Sir/Madam,

Amendments to current parking arrangements in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough, Buckinghamshire

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough, I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and opposite St Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St Dunstan's Close was a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions, however, amount to a distance of 10 - 12 metres either side of the junction with St Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of

accessible parking throughout the day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

Yours sincerely,

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

x Whielden Green, Amersham, Bucks

Dear Sir/Madam,

Re. Amendments to Current Parking Arrangements in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough, I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane on either side and opposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted for the following reasons:

- 1. They will encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and less straight, which would make the road and area less safe.
- 2. The additional restrictions would be detrimental to church life; elderly, the frail and those with young families would find it more difficult to attend events at church during the week, including funerals, friendship groups and toddler group sessions.
- 3. The restrictions would also be detrimental to community life, especially for families with young children, making access to the recreation ground more difficult and dangerous for children.

In summary, availabity of accessible parking throughout the day along this stretch of Mill Lane is essential both to village life both in respect of use of the church as a public and community building with its community activities and to use of the recreation ground for families and children. Any further restrictions than those already in place would have a serious adverse effect on the life of the local community.

Yours faithfully,
Mrs xxxxxxxx,
xxxxxxxxxx,
Askett,
Princes Risborough,
Bucks xxxx xxx.

17th February 2019

Proposed amendments to current parking arrangements in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough

Dear Sir/Madam

As a regular member of the congregation in St Dunstan's Church Monks Risborough, I am responding to the Statutory Consultation regarding the above in objection to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane either side and opposite St Dunstan's Close as shown in Plan R22.

My grounds are that the proposals are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of the lane that are narrower and less straight and thus make the road and area generally less safe, to the detriment of community and church life as well as local amenities.

The availability of accessible parking throughout the day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and the hall for community use and I would be grateful if you would take these points into consideration in your further deliberations.

Yours sincerely,

Xxxxxxxxxxxxx

We agree to the extension of double yellow no parking lines in Mill Lane, at the junction with St Dunstan's Close. It is hazardous leaving St Dunstan's Close at present as there is no sight line with parked cars very close to the junction.

We hope these double yellow lines can be extended very soon for the safety of children and parents on foot and passengers in cars.

I write in response to the Strategic Consultation on proposed Waiting, Loading, and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough insofar as they relate to Mill Lane, Monks Risborough. This is a topic that has raised its head in the past and I had hoped that the arguments that won the day last time round might have deterred the Council from trying again.

The issues have barely changed. The community has a need to park to make full use of the amenities in the area. I am most concerned about those occasions on which use is made of the church and church hall. It is not just regular services and scheduled meetings but also those occasions on which people, mostly elderly, assemble for funerals. Many will have travelled a considerable distance and will plan to arrive in good time and usually to stay for a while after the service. Their life is to be made significantly more difficult if the most obvious places in which to park responsibly are denied to them.

It is worth asking where these people – and indeed locals making use of the park and engaged with school activities – will park instead. There is every prospect of constant overflow into less sensible places. The junction areas are already well and sensibly protected, and one would speculate that the road will become less safe if new yellow lines mean that the bulk of the parking is moved further down Mill Lane.

I do hope good sense may prevail.

xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx (long-term resident)

For the attention of xxxxxxxxxxx.

Further to the letter which which was issued by Bucks CC concerning the proposed extension of yellow lines on Mill Lane, Monks Risborough. I fully approve of the extension of the yellow lines at the St Dunstan's Close junction.

I live at No xx St Dunstan's Close and it is a regular occurrence to find cars parked up to the junction making visibility onto Mill Lane in either direction an absolute danger.

Driving out cautiously the front of my car is almost over the middle of the lane before I can see if a car is proceeding up or down Mill Lane.

I wholeheartedly approve of Bucks CC proposal as it will improve the safety of the residents of St Dunstan's Close and their visitors.

Kind Regards, Xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

Dear Sir, as a resident of xx St Dunstans Close i weekly have close missed accidents with cars speeding down Mill Lane from the Aylesbury road as parked cars near the corner obstruct my view as I try to turn left into their path. This is a very dangerous junction when obscured from view. There is a car regularly parked there close to the corner day and night.

Please extend the yellow lines so that no cars are parked between our close and the next one or a fatal accident will eventually arise.

Many thanks,

Xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

Dear Sir/Madam,

Re: Amendments to current parking arrangements in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough, Buckinghamshire

I have read with dismay your intentions to put double yellow lines where people park to use the 11th century church, what are the disabled and young mothers with children supposed to do now?

I have never heard anything so ridiculous in my whole life, does anybody actually think about what they are doing before they send these plans out.

Yours faithfully,

Xxxxxxxxxxx

Dear Sir,

I refer to your letter dated 21 January 2019 regarding the proposed additional parking restrictions at the junction of St Dunstans Close and Mill Lane. I fully support these proposals.

In the present circumstances the junction is extremely dangerous for drivers trying to exit St Dunstans Close onto Mill Lane. Vehicles parked on Mill Lane either side of the junction prevent a proper view of traffic travelling on Mill Lane. The additional parking restrictions now proposed are essential to ensure safe conditions at this junction.

Kind regards

Xxxxxxx

Dear xxxx,

I hope you are keeping well.

I have just been made aware of the consultation period for the parking review.

Following our email exchange below I see that the extension of the junction protection is about 12-13 metres rather than the 2-3 metres in your reply.

I also see that the proposed extension to the no waiting at any time is on both sides of Mill Lane.

Both of these are very different to what was originally suggested (attached) which seemed proportionate. They will negatively impact on the ability of people to park when attending services at St. Dunstan's and I am also concerned that it will be more difficult for parents to park their car when using the park.

The proposed parking changes therefore do not make sense from a safety perspective.

Please can you therefore contact me in the next 48 hours to help me understand the specific rationale behind this change of thinking that seems overzealous and unwarranted.

You'll remember that a robust approach to object to the 2015 proposed parking restrictions in Mill Lane led to a large volume of complaints and I am keen to avoid a repeat of this.

With kind regards,

Dear Sir or Madam,

First, may we say that, generally, we welcome the Council's proposals for waiting, loading and parking restrictions in and around the town.

Whether we back out from our driveway or face forwards, we find that the cars that park every day between the other side of the old Sports Ground entrance and the entrance to Chestnut House, obscure our view of traffic coming down Mill Lane from the A4010 and, consequently, there is a danger that we could drive onto Mill Lane into the path of oncoming traffic.

We wish, therefore, to propose that you consider further extending the proposed extension of the "No waiting at any time" zone from its current position on the Plan down to include the entrance to King's Oak Close, vehicles from which would have similar problems of entering Mill Lane as we have from our driveway.

Regards,

Xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

Dear sir/madam

Amendments to current parking arrangements in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough, Buckinghamshire

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Pri Risborough I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side an opposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrow less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunstan's Close a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side of the ju with St. Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimen community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughor day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

Yours sincerely,

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and opposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunstan's Close was a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side of the junction with St. Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout the day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

fours sincerely,

four name:

Dear sir/madam

Amendments to current parking arrangements in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough, Buckinghamshire

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and opposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunstan's Close was a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side of the junction with St. Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout the day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

ours sincerely,

Your name: Air

Your postal address

MONKS RISBOROUGH

MP.

Date: 10 Feb 2019

Dear sir/madam

Amendments to current parking arrangements in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough, Buckinghamshire

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and opposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunstan's Close was a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side of the junction with St. Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout the day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

Yours sincerely,

Your name:

Your postal address:

Mill Lane Monks Risborough Buckinghamshire

Date: 3rd February 2019

Amendments to current parking arrangements in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough, Buckinghamshire

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Pr Risborough I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side at opposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrow less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunstan's Clos a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side of the jur with St. Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detriment community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughou day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building a the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parki arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

Yours sincerely

Your name:

Your postal address:

CROWBROOK RD ASKETT- HP BUCKS

Date:

4/2/19

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and opposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunstan's Close was a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side of the junction with St. Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout the day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

Your name
Your postal address:

Date:

ASKETT - BUCKS-H.: 2

4/2/19

Amendments to current parking arrangements in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough, Buckinghamshire

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and opposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunstan's Close was a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side of the junction with St. Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout the day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

Yours sincerely,		
~ " = "	· · · · · · ·	
Your name:	0	
Your postal address:	Baid	olphs Close
	Princes R	18 borough
Date:	Bucks H	P
4/2/19		

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and opposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunstan's Close was a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side of the junction with St. Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout the day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

Your name:

Your postal address:

PINK. ROAD LACEY GREEN PRINCES RIS ROUGH HO

02 02 2019

Amendments to current parking arrangements in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough, Buckinghamshire

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and opposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunsfan's Close was a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side of the junction with St. Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout the day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

Yours sincerely,

Your postal address: PRINCES RISBOROUGH

Date:

3-2-19.

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restricti Risborough I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either opposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealou unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunst; a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The proposed no waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side c with St. Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be $d\varepsilon$ community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking th day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public b the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the propos arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

in the is.

Your postal address: Goodlear I lace Chines Risborerech. BUKKS. +1P

Date: 4.02.2019

Dear Sir - or Madam,

Amendments to the current parking arrangements for Mill Lane, Monks Risborough, Bucks.

As you will see, I live in Bledlow, but I go to church and attend some of the many church functions in Monks Risborough and therefore wanted to let you know of my concern about the proposed Double Yellow Line parking restrictions.

I do realise that you have allowed space for parking on one side of Mill Lane but the proposal eliminates much of the other side, together with both sides a little further along. Parking further up towards the \$\(\beta \)4010 is quite restricted already for there are many drives that obviously must not be blocked. Back, towards the railway bridge, the same applies but also the road narrows which makes parking more difficult for through traffic.

St. Dunstan's Church is very much the hub of the village, with not only Sunday services but is open all week and also has a church hall. This means that both that and the church are much in use with services, meetings, school visits, funerals, weddings, craft fairs, concerts etc. All of these necessitate parking, but each are not of long duration so that the Lane is not blocked with cars most of the time.

I leave these thoughts with you for your consideration when making your decision,

With many thanks,

Yours fait, fully,

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and opposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunstan's Close was a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side of the junction with St. Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout the day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

Yours sincerely.

C ix . Your name:

Your postal address: MILL LANE, MONKS RIS BOROUGH,
BUCKINGHAMSHIRE HP

Date: 3rd Leb Mary 2019

Amendments to current parking arrangements in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough, Buckinghamshire

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and opposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunstan's Close was a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side of the junction with St. Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout the day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

Yours sincerel,

Your name: Your postal address:

. WHITELEAT

PRINCES RISBORDUSH

BUCKS HP:

3nd February 2019

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and opposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunstan's Close was a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side of the junction with St. Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout the day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

Yours sincerely,

Your name:

. .

Your postal address:

Date:

4.2.19

Kings Oak Close Monks Risborough Princes Risborough Buckinghamshire

LOADING AND PARKING RESTRICTIONS IN PRINCES RISBOROUGH

I note that you have now published your proposals for changes to the loading and parking restrictions on the roads and streets around Princes Risborough.

I submitted my comments when the revision was first proposed, but regrettably little notice seems to have been taken of my views which related to the duration of the parking restrictions in Wellington Avenue. The times, for which the restriction is in force, were introduced initially to control parking by people working in the town appears to have been mainly successful. However it has a detrimental effect on parents and carers collecting children from the children's centre and preschool attached to the primary school who finish at 12noon. The parents and carers need to arrive before that time and by parking in the restricted zone are obviously contravening the restriction. I suggest that for the Wellington Avenue zone the restriction on parking should be from 10:00am to 11:00am and from 1:00pm to 2:00pm. This minor change will require only a change of the signage and leave the purpose of the restriction unchanged.

Additionally, there is now a proposal to permit residents parking only in the area outside Windsor Lodge with which I strongly disagree. Representations made when the planning application for this building were considered in 2009 included the following comments:

"Insufficient parking provision which will result in additional street parking arising and congestion"

"Locality is already heavily congested and proposal would exacerbate situation and result in further traffic inconvenience and impact on public safety"

It was somewhat surprising that little notice was taken of these representations at the time and now we see the attempt to correct the deficiency by allowing permit parking in the area.

Page 1 of 2

I am sure you are aware that the proposed permit area is on a left hand bend which when used for parking creates a hazard by restricting the view of oncoming traffic by drivers travelling down Wellington Avenue towards the A4129 (Longwick Road). I note from your statement of reasons that one of the factors is "For avoiding danger to persons or other traffic using the road or any other road or for preventing the likelihood of any such danger arising". Permitting residents parking on a left hand bend will increase the hazard, and indeed as safety is one of the major factors then the bend should have double yellow lines. In addition to removing the current and proposed hazard, double yellow lines would give a retreat area into which drivers could stop to allow vehicles coming up Wellington Avenue to pass safely.

I hope in the interests of safety and to accept reality you will implement the suggestions I have made.

Dear Sir or Madam,

Thank you for sending your letter about the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough and for inviting us to comment on them. I refer to your proposals with regard to Mill Lane on Area Code R22.

Mill Lane is, at certain times of the day, a busy thoroughfare. The 30 mile-an-hour limit is more honoured in the breach than in the observance, with traffic frequently travelling much faster than the speed restriction. Getting out of our drive is already a difficult and dangerous manoeuvre. Parked vehicles to the left obscure the view up Mill Lane. To the right, the view is also blocked by parked vehicles; and the situation is made more dangerous, as there is a bend to the right within 50 yards, by the entrance to the former Molins Ground, obscuring one's view of approaching traffic. All one can do is nose out into the road and hope that vehicles driving in either direction on the free carriageway will not be travelling so fast that they cannot stop in time. The danger is even greater, when the vehicles parked either side of the drive are vans or four-by-fours, as it is not possible to see through either of these from a car. We would be pleased to illustrate the difficulty on site, if someone would like to arrange to meet us here.

We were hoping that your proposals would do something to help, but we fear that they will make things worse. We are pleased to see that you are extending the No Parking restriction from St

Dunstan's Close as far the drive of Ashview House. This will certainly be an improvement for our neighbours, who live there. The proposal will, however, lead to a greater likelihood that people will park either side of our drive, thus increasing the number of occasions when we will have difficulty in emerging from it. The demand for parking is already high. The owners of vehicles in St Dunstan's Close, parents whose children use the playground, people using the church, especially for weddings, funerals and major events, and those who drive here to walk their dogs on the old Molins Ground all park in this area, so we anticipate that, under your proposals, there will almost always be vehicles obscuring our vision on both sides of our drive.

We would welcome it if you could reconsider your decision. We would be most grateful if you could extend the No Parking area as far as the entrance to Molins Ground. That would give a clear view in both directions and would be much safer.

Out of courtesy, we should mention that we are sending a copy of this letter to County Councillor Bill Bendyshe-Brown.

Yours faithfully,

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and opposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunstan's Close was a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side of the junction with St. Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout the day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

Yours sincerely.

Your name:

Your postal address:

WESTMEAD .

P. P. Com

PRINCES RISBORONAH, Bucks HP

TFIE

Date: 05. 02. 19.

Amendments to current parking arrangements in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough, Buckinghamshire

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and opposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunstan's Close was a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side of the junction with St. Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout the day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

Yours sincerely,

Your name:

Your postal address:

HANSON WAY AYLEJBURY

,

HP,

7.2.19

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and opposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunstan's Close was a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side of the junction with St. Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout the day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

Yours sincerely,

Your name:

Your postal address:

PREFER NOT TO SAY SUST AN HP27 ROIDONT LIVING IN THE VACINITY

Date:

4-2.2019

Amendments to current parking arrangements in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough, Buckinghamshire

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and opposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunstan's Close was a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side of the junction with St. Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout the day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

Yours sincerely,

Your name:

Your postal address:

. PLACE FARM WAY.

MONKS RESBOROUGH.

V. . . - - 7 11

BUCKS HP

Date:

6.2.19.

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough, I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and opposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plans R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

I have lived in Princes Risborough and latterly in Saunderton with my husband since 1983 and our chosen place of worship is St. Dunstan's Church, Monks Risborough. As the distance from our house to the Church is too far to walk we are obliged to use our car which currently gives us no problems. Your proposals are going to make it extremely difficult for parishioners to continue using this Church if we are unable to use our cars. My husband is a Sidesperson and therefore is obliged to attend certain services.

As you will be aware, the Church as a whole is very concerned about the dwindling numbers of people attending services, especially amongst the younger generation with young families. With the implementation of your restrictions you would be deterring the young from contemplating attending services as there would be little provision for them to park their cars.

I would have to ask if a house survey has been undertaken in Mill Lane to ascertain their thoughts on the restrictions you are proposing to implement and the detrimental effect it will have on their lives, visitors and deliveries. Also have there been any accidents in Mill Lane as a result of too many cars being parked thus restricting access for ongoing traffic? Mill Lane is not a thoroughfare for those driving from the B4009 to the B4019, as the Askett road is used for this purpose for onward journeys to Great Missenden.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunstan's Close was a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions, however, amount to a distance

of 10-12 metres either side of the junction with St Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout the day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

I would therefore urge you to reconsider your proposals in the light of the above comments.

Yours faithfully

Amendments to current parking arrangements in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough

Although not a resident of Monks Risborough, my wife and I have been active members of the St Dunstan's church fellowship since 1984. I am on the Church's electoral role and undertake Service Sideman duties. Although we moved from Princes Risborough to Saunderton in 1993, we have continued to worship at St* Dunstan's. This requires the use of a car and being able to park in the vicinity of St Dunstan's Church, including Mill Lane.

In response to the Statutory Consultation on changes to the Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking Rstrictions in Princes Risborough and Monks Risborough, I wish to object to the proposed increased restrictions in Mill Lane, and the resulting further reduction in parking opportunities near the Church. While the original proposal to increase junction protection either side of St Dunstan's Close was proportionate and sensible, the latest proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted. They will make it even more difficult to worship at St Dunstan's in the absence of alternative safe and accessible parking, exacerbated by the significant current and planned increases in surrounding housing developments.

Significantly, the proposals would appear to be in conflict with Article 9 of The Human Rights Act 1998 as they will further interfere with my right of access to my chosen place of religious worship. Furthermore, although the Equality Act 2010, which includes clarification of religion or belief discrimination, does state that while public authorities cannot normally interfere with my right to practice my beliefs, it does recognize that there are some situations in which public authorities can interfere; but, only where the authority can show that its action is lawful, necessary and proportionate. However, as the proposal would not appear to be proportionate but would actually add to the overall hazards in Mill Lane, the proposal could be contrary to the required exercise of Public Sector Equality Duty under the Act. Consequently, the application of these disproportionally restrictive parking restrictions, while not intentional, could still be classed as unlawful under the Act.

Yours faithfully

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and opposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunstan's Close was a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side of the junction with St. Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout the day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

Yours sincerely,

Your name:

Your postal address: 11 9 27

Date: 10 2 19

Amendments to current parking arrangements in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough, Buckinghamshire

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and opposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunstan's Close was a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side of the junction with St. Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout the day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

Yours sincerely,

. . .

Your name:

Your postal address:

PLACE FARM WAY

Date: 10. 0. 2019

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and opposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunstan's Close was a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side of the junction with St. Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout the day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

Yours sincerely,

Your name: \QC,

Your postal address: JORTHFIELD RD, PRINCES RISBOROUGH
BUCKS, HP27

Date: 2.2.19

Amendments to current parking arrangements in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough, Buckinghamshire

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Prince Risborough I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and opposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower a less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunstan's Close w a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side of the junctic with St. Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout th day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

Your postal address: ST TERESAS CLOSE

PRINCES RISHORONGH

Date:

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and opposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunstan's Close was a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side of the junction with St. Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout the day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

Yours sincerely,	(<i>y</i> .	A				
Your name:	Vr		- 1	~			
Your postal addre	ess:						
	,	PRIN	YMC JCE;	s 1	RISE	CLO	SE Wall
Date:				HP2	27		
10/2/	201	9					

Amendments to current parking arrangements in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough, Buckinghamshire

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and opposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunstan's Close was a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side of the junction with St. Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout the day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

Yours since	rely,			
	^	`	-	
Your name:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	< > -	(8)	
Your postal	address:		NSMORE	
		Bucks.	RISBOZO	
Date: 8	1/2019.	NUCKS.	TTP27	1.060

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and opposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunstan's Close was a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side of the junction with St. Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout the day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

Yours sincerely,

9.7

Your name:

Your postal address: Wheatstone

Monks Risborough

He2-

Date

15.2.19

Amendments to current parking arrangements in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough, Buckinghamshire

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and opposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunstan's Close was a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side of the junction with St. Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout the day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

Yours sincerely,

Your name:

Your postal address

S:
Prestwood
Great Musenden
Buckinghamshire 16P16

Date: Joh 124h 2019

- Why me? I washipat honks Ris... Why not have double gellow all down the RIGHT SIDE going DOWN hill have from the main road. The problem banks when people park both sides which is dangerous?

the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and Junstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and and therefore make the road and area less safe.

roposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunstan's Close was ite and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The newly waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side of the junction tan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to indichurch life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout the all for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and immunity use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking will have an adverse effect on the locality.

у,

(,2)

dress:

CAIR CLOSE

Dear sir/madam

Amendments to current parking arrangements in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough, Buckinghamshire

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and opposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunstan's Close was a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side of the junction with St. Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout the day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

Yours sincerely.

Your name:

Your postal address

AYLESBURY ROD

MONKS RISBOROUGH, BUCKS HP27

.... ---- ----

Date: 19/02/2019

e to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes

I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and

. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and ed and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and t, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

Il proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunstan's Close was mate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The newly io waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side of the junction nstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to r and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout the ntial for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking nts will have an adverse effect on the locality.

beech Road Princes Risbarough, HP27 -

Amendments to current parking arrangements in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough, Buckinghams

n response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restriction isborough I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either poposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous an unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are ness straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

he original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunstan' proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The naroposed no waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side of the fifth St. Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detriported and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking through is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public builtine hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed managements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

our postal address:

- Jahor Park avenue, Princes Risborough
Bucks - HP27
ate:

Lot March 2019

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and opposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunstan's Close was a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side of the junction with St. Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout the day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

Yours sincerely,

Your name:

Your postal address: Welling for Ave.,

PRINCES RISBOROUGH HP27.

Date: 14/3/19

Amendments to current parking arrangements in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough, Buckinghamshire

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and opposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunstan's Close was proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side of the junction with St. Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout the day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and th hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

Yours sincerely,

financia -

Your name:

Vale Road, Your postal address:

Chesham, Buckinghamshire.

9th March 2019

In response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Princes Risborough I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and opposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower and less straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

The original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunstan's Close was a proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side of the junction with St. Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout the day is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and the hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking arrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

Your name:
Your postal address: West mind, Princes Kishwangh
Date: 19/3/10.

P.S. The same is true for the proposed sests ictions
in Wellington Aremne Han short-sighted,
especially as it will not only make life hoself
for pusints of substitution, but also shoppers!

Amendments to current parking arrangements in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough, Buckinghamshire

n response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Pr Risborough I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side as apposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and unwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrow ess straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

he original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunstan's Close proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The newly proposed no waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side of the junch st. Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detriment community and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughou lay is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building a he hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed park irrangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

four name:

four postal address:

FUNCE FREM WAY

MONES PIEREOSTH

Date: LIP27

A13/19.

ear sir/madam

mendments to current parking arrangements in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough, Buckinghamshire

response to the Statutory Consultation on Proposed Waiting, Loading and Parking restrictions in Prince isborough I wish to object to the proposed restrictions in Mill Lane, Monks Risborough either side and pposite St. Dunstan's Close as shown in plan R22. The proposed restrictions are over-zealous and nwarranted and will merely encourage people to park in other sections of Mill Lane that are narrower aress straight, and therefore make the road and area less safe.

he original proposal to increase the junction protection by 3 metres either side of St. Dunstan's Close wa proportionate and sensible proposal to ease access out of and into St. Dunstan's Close. The newly roposed no waiting restrictions however amount to a distance of 10-12 metres either side of the junction ith St. Dunstan's Close as well as no waiting restrictions adjacent to the park. This will be detrimental to amountly and church life as well as local amenities. The availability of accessible parking throughout the sy is essential for the use of the local park for children and families, the church as a public building and a hall for community use. Mill Lane forms an important artery of village life and the proposed parking rangements will have an adverse effect on the locality.

urs sincerely,

ur name:

ur postal address:

MONES RISBEROUGH

HP27 -

te: (7/3/19